| Interview Summary  10/583,211 Examiner Sheela J. Huff  ARI Unit Sheela J. Huff  (3)  Date of Interview: 5 [U](U  Type: a) Telephonic b) Uideo Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ¥ yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: ALL RURLING Claimes  Identification of prior art discussed: ALL RURLING Claimes  Identification of prior art discussed: ALL RURLING Claimes  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) Awas not reached. h) NA.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's a eyent presented arguments and cited WV 9/40/3  To 5/0 yr that auth bride; b th B-EGF: Inhibit print(Parton) by the Individual Calames allowable, in available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. So, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The summary there of the summary thereof must be attac    | Interview Summary  | Application No.  | Application No. Applicant(s)  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Sheela J. Huff.  (2) Aylin H. Harston #65, 249  (4)  |  | 10/563,211   | ULLRICH ET AL   |  |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):  (1) Sheela J. Hutt.  (2) Aydin M. Harston #65, 249 (4)  Date of Interview: \$\int \beta(\text{loft}\c)\$  Type: a) Telephonic b\ \text{loft}\c)  Type: a) Telephonic b\ \text{loft}\c)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \text{loft}\text{ yes e} \text{loft}\c)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \text{loft}\text{ yes e} \text{loft}\c)  If Yes, bnef description:  Claim(s) discussed: All Reading claims  Identification of prior art discussed: All Ant do all and a greenent with respect to the claims \( \text{loft}\c) was reached. g) \text{loft}\text{ was agreed to if an agreement was reached or any other comments: \( \text{loft}\c) performants \( \text{loft}\c) and \( l       |  | Examiner   | Art Unit  |  |
| (1) Sheelad J. Huff  (2) Aylin H. Harston #65, 249  (4)  |  | Sheela J. Huff   | 1643  |  |
| Date of Interview: \$\( \left\)   \( \left\)   | All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO   | personnel):  |   |  |
| Date of Interview: \$\sum_{\lambda}(\lambda)(\lambda)\$  Type: a) Telephonic b \sum_{\lambda} \lambda \text{Video Conference} co \sum_{\lambda} \mathread{Personal [copy given to: 1) \sum_{\lambda} applicant } 2 \sum_{\lambda} applicant's representative]  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \sum_{\lambda} \text{Yes} e) \sum_{\lambda} \text{No.}  If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\lambda} \text{Video Conference}  copy \sum_{\lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video And Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video And Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video And Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video And Conference}  dientification of prior art discussed: \frac{\alpha L Republican}{\alpha \lambda} \text{Video And Conference}  dientification of prior art |  | (3)  | •   |  |
| Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2 applicant's representative)  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All Reading Claimes  Identification of prior art discussed: All and to claimes  Identification of prior art discussed: All and to claimes  Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) NA  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's againt presented arguments and with wordy of 5 hip that ann holies by 18 - Eeft in high and presented arguments and with In 1 No 1 Rand (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF FORM MONTH OF THIRITY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  SIN VIV. THE EXAminer will reconsider the final presented arguments will respect to the other types of inhibitors and Shiwed correlation between in vitro and in vivo using sirking and EOTR. The Examiner was concerned with the Ulains being directed to inhibitors of Information of the Vicential to inhibitors of Information of the Vicential to inhibitors of the Vicential to inhibitors.   | (2) Aydin H. Harston #65,249   | (4)  |   |  |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)  e policant 2   | Date of Interview: 5/6/10  |  |   |  |
| If Yes, brief description:  Claim(s) discussed: All Reading claimes  Identification of prior art discussed: All antib allow  Agreement with respect to the claims n was reached. g) was not reached. h N N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agent presented arguments and cital well-off to show that antibudies to the Jeffer in his pringration bith in vitro and to show that antibudies to the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  Sin vivo. The Examiner will reconsider the enablement of the other types of inhibitors and showed correlation between in vitro and in vivo using sirem and ecoter. The examiner was conceived with the using sirems acting and ecoter. The Examiner was conceived with the using agents acting an recept. Agent will also repleased address this in his arguments. Agent will also repleased address this in his arguments. Agent will also repleased  |  | 2) applicant's representat   | ive]  |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agent presented arguments and wild Wyg/4013 to 5how that annihilars to 418-156. In his if proliferation bith in vitro and (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  SIN VIVO. THE Examiner will reconstitute the analysments will respect to 0 their types of inhibitors and Shiwed correlation between in vitro and in Vivo Using SIRM and EGFR. The Examiner was conceived with the Ulaims being directed to inhibition of ligand us.  The Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will the Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease acknown of the present and the property to the last liquid in his lifes.   | If Yes, brief description:   |  | •   |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agent presented arguments and wild Wyg/4013 to 5how that annihilars to 418-156. In his if proliferation bith in vitro and (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  SIN VIVO. THE Examiner will reconstitute the analysments will respect to 0 their types of inhibitors and Shiwed correlation between in vitro and in Vivo Using SIRM and EGFR. The Examiner was conceived with the Ulaims being directed to inhibition of ligand us.  The Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will the Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease acknown of the present and the property to the last liquid in his lifes.   | Claim(s) discussed: all pending claim  | ucs o  |   |  |
| Agreement with respect to the claims fill was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.  Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agent presented arguments and wild Wyg/4013 to 5how that annihilars to 418-156. In his if proliferation bith in vitro and (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filled, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER. TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.  SIN VIVO. THE Examiner will reconstitute the analysments will respect to 0 their types of inhibitors and Shiwed correlation between in vitro and in Vivo Using SIRM and EGFR. The Examiner was conceived with the Ulaims being directed to inhibition of ligand us.  The Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will the Vificence Shiwing a gents acking an recept. Agent will address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease address this in his arguments. Agent will also replease acknown of the present and the property to the last liquid in his lifes.   | Identification of prior art discussed: all ant ob  | reind  |   |  |
| reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agint presented arguments and cikel W0914013 to 5how that aiminodies to HB-EGF inhibit proliferation bith in vitro and (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.   3.1N vivo. The Examiner will vectors over the enablement of "antibodies!" Dasid on this. Agent also presented arguments will repeat to other types of inhibitors and Shiwed correlation between in vitro and in vivo using sirem and EGFR. The Examiner was conceived with the claims bung directed to inhibition of ligand us. The vitro end of the vitro and with the claims bung directed to inhibition of ligand us.  The vitro end in the plant of the vitro ligand inhibitors.   |  |  | ] N/A.  |  |
| "antibodies" based on this. Agent also presented arguments will respect to other types of inhibitors and shiwed correlation between in vitro and in vivo using sirry and ECTR. The Examiner was concerned with the claims being directed to inhibition of ligard us. the vitebonce showing agents acting on reception. Agent will the vitebonce showing agents acting on reception. Agent will address this in his arguments. Agent will also restinate address this in his arguments. Agent will also restinate   | reached, or any other comments: Applicant's agusto show that and bidies to HB-TEGE IN (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amen allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. | at presented arquing hibit proliferation distributed by the distributed by the examiner and | ents and cui<br>byth in Vi<br>agreed would rend<br>t would render the<br>HE SUBSTANCE<br>dy been filed, APF<br>TY DAYS FROM<br>II, WHICHEVER IS | Her the claims claims OF THE PLICANT IS THIS S LATER, TO |
| and the state of t   | "antibodies" based on this. Agent<br>to other types of inhibitors on<br>vitro and in vivo using sire,<br>concerned with the claims being<br>the vitenance showing agents ac  | t also presented a d shiwed correla A and ECTR. I directed to inh. thing on recept Agent will  | arguments tion between the Examination bitsin of Agent also rep   | een in   |
| //Sheela J Huff// Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1643  | //Sheela J Huff//  | 1  | ٠.<br>  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Interview Summary

Paper No. 20100506